

were no longer necessary. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the § 112, second paragraph, rejections of these claims be withdrawn.

**Rejections under § 103**

5           Claims 1-13, 41-43, 50, 82-92, 98, 101, and 103 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Laura Acklen & Read Gilgen, Using Corel WordPerfect 9, 251-284, 424-434, 583-586 (1998) (hereinafter “Acklen”), in view of Webopedia Computer Dictionary (hereinafter “Webopedia”), pages 1-7 (and newly added supplemental page 1 (available at  
10   www.pcwebopedia.com)).

          Claims 44-49 and 99-101 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Acklen in view of Webopedia and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,630,126 to Redpath (“Redpath”).

          Without conceding the propriety of the § 103 rejections, Applicant has  
15   amended the independent claims as discussed during the Examiner Interview. Accordingly, and as agreed to by the Examiner during the Examiner Interview, a prima facie case of obviousness with respect to the claims herein cannot be established based on the cited references. Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are allowable.

20

**Conclusion**

All of the claims are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicant requests that the Office issue a Notice of Allowability. If the Office's next anticipated action is to be anything other than issuance of a Notice of Allowability, Applicant respectfully requests a telephone call for the purpose of scheduling an interview.

Respectfully Submitted,

10

Dated: February 23, 2009

By: /Christopher J. Culberson/  
Christopher J. Culberson  
Reg. No. 59,136  
(509) 755-7266